

STRONGLY SELF-ABSORBING C^* -ALGEBRAS ARE $\mathcal{Z}$ -STABLE

WILHELM WINTER

ABSTRACT. We prove the title. This characterizes the Jiang–Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ as the uniquely determined initial object in the category of strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras.

0. INTRODUCTION

A separable unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathbb{C}$ is called strongly self-absorbing, if there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ which is approximately unitarily equivalent to the first factor embedding, cf. [12]. The interest in such algebras largely arises from Elliott’s program to classify nuclear C^* -algebras by K -theoretic invariants. In fact, examples suggest that classification will only be possible up to $\mathcal{D}$ -stability (i.e., up to tensoring with $\mathcal{D}$) for a strongly self-absorbing $\mathcal{D}$, cf. [11], [4], [15]. While the known strongly self-absorbing examples are quite well understood, and are entirely classified, it remains an open problem whether these are the only ones. From a more general perspective, the question is in how far abstract properties allow for comparison with concrete examples. For nuclear C^* -algebras, this question prominently manifests itself as the UCT problem (i.e., is every nuclear C^* -algebra KK -equivalent to a commutative one); a positive answer even in the special setting of strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras would be highly satisfactory, and likely shed light on the general case.

In this note we shall be concerned with a closely related interpretation of the aforementioned question: we will show that any strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D}$ admits a unital embedding of a specific example, the Jiang–Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ (we refer to [8] and to [10] for an introduction and various characterizations of $\mathcal{Z}$). It then follows immediately that $\mathcal{D}$ is in fact $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. The result answers some problems left open in [12] and in [1]; in particular it implies that strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras are always K_1 -injective. Moreover, it shows that the Jiang–Su algebra is an initial object in the category of strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras (with unital $*$ -homomorphisms); there can only be one such initial object, whence $\mathcal{Z}$ is characterized this way. It is interesting to note that the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$ is the uniquely determined final object in this category, and that $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ can be characterized as the initial object in the category of infinite strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras.

The proof of our main result builds on ideas from [10] and from [1], where the problem was settled in the case where $\mathcal{D}$ contains a nontrivial projection.

Date: May 5, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L35, 46L85.

Key words and phrases. strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra, Jiang–Su algebra.

Supported by: EPSRC First Grant EP/G014019/1.

1. SMALL ELEMENTARY TENSORS

In this section, we generalize a technical result from [1] to a setting that does not require the existence of projections, see Lemma 1.4 below. We refer to [9] for a brief account of the Cuntz semigroup.

1.1 PROPOSITION: *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, $0 \leq g \leq \mathbf{1}_A$.*

Then, for any $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n} &\geq (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \dots \otimes g \\ &\quad + g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \dots \otimes g \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + g \otimes \dots \otimes g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: The statement is trivial for $n = 1$. Suppose now we have shown the assertion for some $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes(n+1)}} - g^{\otimes(n+1)} &= \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes g - g^{\otimes n} \otimes g + \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}) \otimes g + \mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \\ &\geq ((\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \dots \otimes g) \otimes g \\ &\quad + (g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \otimes g \otimes \dots \otimes g) \otimes g \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + (g \otimes \dots \otimes g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g)) \otimes g \\ &\quad + g^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g), \end{aligned}$$

where for the inequality we have used our induction hypothesis as well as the fact that $\mathbf{1}_{A^{\otimes n}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g) \geq g^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_A - g)$. Therefore, the statement also holds for $n + 1$. $\blacksquare$

1.2 PROPOSITION: *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be strongly self-absorbing, $0 \leq d \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Then, for any $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} - d^{\otimes k}] \leq k \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}] \text{ in } W(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}).$$

PROOF: The assertion holds trivially for $k = 1$. Suppose now it has been verified for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d^{\otimes(k+1)}] &= [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) + \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} \otimes d - d^{\otimes k} \otimes d] \\ &\leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d)] + [(d^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})] \\ &\leq [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}}] + k \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] \\ &= (k + 1) \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}}] \end{aligned}$$

(using that $\mathcal{D}$ is strongly self-absorbing as well as our induction hypothesis for the second inequality), so the assertion also holds for $k + 1$. $\blacksquare$

1.3 The following is only a mild generalization of [1, Lemma 1.3].

LEMMA: *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be strongly self-absorbing and let $0 \leq f \leq g \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ be positive elements of $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g \neq 0$ and $fg = f$.*

Then, there is $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[f^{\otimes n}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}] \text{ in } W(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}).$$

PROOF: Since $\mathcal{D}$ is simple and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g \neq 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[f] \leq n \cdot [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g].$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} [f^{\otimes n}] &\leq n \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes f \otimes \dots \otimes f] \\ &= [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes f \otimes \dots \otimes f] + \dots + [f \otimes \dots \otimes f \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g)] \\ &= [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes f \otimes \dots \otimes f + \dots + f \otimes \dots \otimes f \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g)] \\ &\leq [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g) \otimes g \otimes \dots \otimes g + \dots + g \otimes \dots \otimes g \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g)] \\ &\leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}], \end{aligned}$$

where for the first equality we have used that $\mathcal{D}$ is strongly self-absorbing, for the second equality we have used that the terms are pairwise orthogonal by our assumptions on f and g , and the last inequality follows from Proposition 1.1. $\blacksquare$

1.4 The following is a version of [1, Lemma 2.4] for positive elements rather than projections.

LEMMA: *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be strongly self-absorbing and let $0 \leq f \leq g \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ be positive elements satisfying $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - g \neq 0$ and $fg = f$; let $0 \neq d \in \mathcal{D}_+$.*

Then, there is $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[f^{\otimes m}] \leq [d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-1)}}] \text{ in } W(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}).$$

PROOF: By Lemma 1.3, there is $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[f^{\otimes n}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}];$$

since $f^{\otimes n} \perp \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}} - g^{\otimes n}$, this implies

$$2 \cdot [f^{\otimes n}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n}}].$$

By an easy induction argument we then have

$$2^k \cdot [f^{\otimes nk}] \leq [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes nk}}]$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By simplicity of $\mathcal{D}$ and since d is nonzero, there is $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[f] \leq 2^{\bar{k}} \cdot [d].$$

Set

$$m := n\bar{k} + 1,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} [f^{\otimes m}] &\leq 2^{\bar{k}} \cdot [d \otimes f^{\otimes(m-1)}] \\ &= 2^{\bar{k}} \cdot [d \otimes f^{\otimes n\bar{k}}] \\ &\leq [d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes n\bar{k}}}] \\ &= [d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-1)}}]. \end{aligned}$$

$\blacksquare$

2. LARGE ORDER ZERO MAPS

Below we establish the existence of nontrivial order zero maps from matrix algebras into strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras, and we show certain systems of such maps give rise to order zero maps with small complements. We refer to [16] and [17] for an introduction to order zero maps.

2.1 PROPOSITION: *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be strongly self-absorbing and $0 \neq d \in \mathcal{D}_+$.*

Then, for any $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\psi : M_k \rightarrow \overline{d\mathcal{D}d}.$$

PROOF: Let us first prove the assertion in the case where $d = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $k = 2$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is infinite dimensional, there are orthogonal positive normalized elements $e, f \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is strongly self-absorbing, there is a sequence of unitaries $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$u_n(e \otimes f)u_n^* \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f \otimes e;$$

since $e \otimes f \perp f \otimes e$ this implies that there is a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\sigma} : M_2 \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$$

given by

$$\bar{\sigma}(e_{11}) = e \otimes f, \bar{\sigma}(e_{22}) = f \otimes e, \bar{\sigma}(e_{21}) = \pi((u_n(e \otimes f))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

(cf. [16]), where $\pi : \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ denotes the quotient map.

Since order zero maps with finite dimensional domains are semiprojective (cf. [16]), $\bar{\sigma}$ has a c.p.c. order zero lift $M_2 \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$, which in turn implies that there is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\tilde{\sigma} : M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D}.$$

Next, if $k = 2^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$M_{2^r} \cong (M_2)^{\otimes r} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\sigma}^{\otimes r}} \mathcal{D}^{\otimes r} \cong \mathcal{D}$$

is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map; for an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we may take r large enough and restrict $\tilde{\sigma}^{\otimes r}$ to $M_k \subset M_{2^r}$ to obtain a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\sigma : M_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}.$$

This settles the proposition for arbitrary k and for $d = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Now if d is an arbitrary nonzero positive element (which we may clearly assume to be normalized), we can define a c.p.c. map

$$\bar{\psi} : M_k \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d\mathcal{D}d} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d\mathcal{D}d} \subset \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}$$

by setting

$$\bar{\psi}(x) := \pi((d\sigma_n(x)d)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \text{ for } x \in M_k,$$

where again $\pi : \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d\mathcal{D}d} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d\mathcal{D}d} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \overline{d\mathcal{D}d}$ denotes the quotient map and $\sigma_n : M_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a sequence of c.p.c. maps lifting the c.p.c. order zero map

$$\mu\sigma : M_k \rightarrow (\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{D}',$$

with

$$\mu : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D} / \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{D}'$$

being a unital $*$ -homomorphism as in [12, Theorem 2.2]. It is straightforward to check that $\bar{\psi}$ is nonzero and has order zero. Again by semiprojectivity of order zero maps, this implies the existence of a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\psi : M_k \rightarrow \overline{d\mathcal{D}d}.$$

■

2.2 PROPOSITION: *Let B be a unital C^* -algebra and $\varrho : M_2 \rightarrow B$ a unital $*$ -homomorphism. Define*

$$E := \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B \otimes M_2) \mid f(0) \in B \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}, f(1) \in \mathbf{1}_B \otimes M_2\}.$$

Then, there is a unital $$ -homomorphism*

$$\tilde{\varrho} : M_2 \rightarrow E.$$

PROOF: This follows from simply connecting the two embeddings $\varrho \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{M_2} \otimes \text{id}_{M_2}$ of M_2 into $\varrho(M_2) \otimes M_2 \cong M_2 \otimes M_2$ along the unit interval. ■

2.3 LEMMA: *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and A a unital C^* -algebra. Let*

$$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m : M_2 \rightarrow A$$

be c.p.c. order zero maps such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) \leq \mathbf{1}_A$$

and

$$[\varphi_i(M_2), \varphi_j(M_2)] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j.$$

Then, there is a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\varphi} : M_2 \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_i(M_2) \mid i = 1, \dots, m) \subset A$$

such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

Moreover, if $d \in A_+$ satisfies $\varphi_m(e_{11})d = d$, we may assume that $\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})d = d$.

PROOF: In the following, we write C_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, for various copies of the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}_0((0, 1], M_2)$; these come equipped with c.p.c. order zero maps $\varrho_i : M_2 \rightarrow C_i$ given by

$$\varrho_i(x)(t) = t \cdot x \text{ for } t \in (0, 1] \text{ and } x \in M_2.$$

By [14, Proposition 3.2(a)], the c.p.c. order zero maps $\varphi_i : M_2 \rightarrow A$ induce unique $*$ -homomorphisms $C_i \rightarrow A$ via $\varrho_i(x) \mapsto \varphi_i(x)$, for $x \in M_2$.

We now define a universal C^* -algebra

$$B := C^*(C_i, \mathbf{1} \mid \sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) \leq \mathbf{1}, [C_i, C_j] = 0 \text{ if } i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, m\}).$$

Then, B is generated by the $\varrho_i(x)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x \in M_2$; the assignment

$$\varrho_i(x) \mapsto \varphi_i(x) \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ and } x \in M_2$$

induces a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi : B \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_i(M_2), \mathbf{1}_A \mid i \in \{1, \dots, m\}) \subset A$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{l=1}^m \pi \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{l=1}^m \varphi_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

Now if we find a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\varrho} : M_2 \rightarrow B$$

satisfying

$$\bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}),$$

then

$$\bar{\varphi} := \pi \bar{\varrho}$$

will have the desired properties, proving the first assertion of the lemma. We proceed to construct $\bar{\varrho}$.

For $k = 1, \dots, m$, let

$$J_k := \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{1} - \sum_{l=k}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \triangleleft B$$

denote the ideal generated by $\mathbf{1} - \sum_{l=k}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})$ in B ; let

$$B_k := B/J_k$$

denote the quotient. We clearly have

$$J_1 \subset J_2 \subset \dots \subset J_m$$

and surjections

$$B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_m} B_m.$$

Observe that

$$\pi_m \circ \dots \circ \pi_1 \circ \varrho_m : M_2 \rightarrow B_m$$

is a unital surjective c.p. order zero map, hence a $*$ -homomorphism by [14, Proposition 3.2(b)]; therefore,

$$B_m \cong M_2.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, set

$$E_k :=$$

$$(1) \quad \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B_{k+1} \otimes M_2) \mid f(0) \in B_{k+1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}, f(1) \in \mathbf{1}_{B_{k+1}} \otimes M_2\};$$

one easily checks that the maps

$$\sigma_k : B_k \rightarrow E_k$$

induced by

$$\pi_k \dots \pi_1 \varrho_i(x) \mapsto \begin{cases} (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \pi_{k+1} \dots \pi_1 \varrho_i(x) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{M_2}) & \text{for } i = k+1, \dots, m \text{ and } x \in M_2 \\ (t \mapsto t \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_{k+1}} \otimes x) & \text{for } i = k \text{ and } x \in M_2 \end{cases}$$

are well-defined $*$ -isomorphisms. Similarly, the map

$$\sigma_0 : B \rightarrow E_0 := \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B_1) \mid f(1) \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_1}\}$$

induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_i(x) &\mapsto (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \pi_1 \varrho_i(x)) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } x \in M_2, \\ \mathbf{1}_B &\mapsto \mathbf{1}_{E_0} \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined $*$ -isomorphism; note that

$$\sigma_0(\sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) = (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_1}).$$

By (1) together with Proposition 2.2 and an easy induction argument, the unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\pi_m \dots \pi_1 \varrho_m : M_2 \rightarrow B_m$$

pulls back to a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varrho} : M_2 \rightarrow B_1;$$

This in turn induces a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\check{\varrho} : M_2 \rightarrow E_0$$

by

$$\check{\varrho}(x) := (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \tilde{\varrho}(x));$$

note that this map satisfies

$$\check{\varrho}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = (t \mapsto (1-t) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{B_1}).$$

We now define a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\bar{\varrho} := \sigma_0^{-1} \circ \check{\varrho} : M_2 \rightarrow B;$$

note that $\bar{\varrho}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{l=1}^m \varrho_l(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})$, whence $\bar{\varrho}$ is as desired.

For the second assertion of the lemma, note that $\bar{\varrho}$ and ϱ_m agree modulo J_m . Therefore, $\bar{\varphi} = \pi \bar{\varrho}$ and $\varphi_m = \pi \varrho_m$ agree up to $\pi(J_m)$. However, one checks that $\pi(J_m) \perp d$, whence $(\bar{\varphi}(x) - \varphi_m(x))d = 0$ for all $x \in M_2$. This implies $\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})d = \varphi_m(e_{11})d = d$. ■

2.4 PROPOSITION: *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be strongly self-absorbing, $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$\varphi_0 : M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

a c.p.c. order zero map.

Then, there are c.p.c. order zero maps

$$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m : M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}$$

such that

- (i) $\varphi_1 = \varphi_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-1)}}$
- (ii) $[\varphi_i(M_2), \varphi_j(M_2)] = 0$ if $i \neq j$
- (iii) $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}} - \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes m}$.

PROOF: For $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, define

$$\varphi_k := (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes(k-1)} \otimes \varphi_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-k)}},$$

then the φ_k obviously satisfy 2.4(i) and (ii).

A simple induction argument shows that, for $k = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes m}} - \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(m-k)}},$$

which is 2.4(iii) when we take $k = m$. ■

3. $\mathcal{Z}$ -STABILITY

We now assemble the techniques of the preceding sections and a result from [10] to prove our main result; we also derive some consequences.

3.1 THEOREM: *Any strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D}$ absorbs the Jiang–Su algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ tensorially.*

PROOF: Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 2.1, there is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map $\varphi : M_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. Using functional calculus for order zero maps (cf. [17]), we may assume that there is

$$0 \leq d \leq \varphi(e_{11})$$

such that

$$d \neq 0 \text{ and } \varphi(e_{11})d = d.$$

Note that

$$(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

By Proposition 2.1, there is a nonzero c.p.c. order zero map

$$\psi : M_k \rightarrow \overline{d\mathcal{D}d};$$

note that

$$\varphi(e_{11})\psi(x) = \psi(x) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } x \in M_k.$$

Apply Lemma 1.4 (with $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}$, $\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k}$, $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}$ and $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}$ in place of $\mathcal{D}$, d , f and g , respectively) to obtain $0 \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(2) \quad [((\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}})^{\otimes m}] \leq [\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}}]$$

in $W((\mathcal{D} \otimes k)^{\otimes m})$. From Proposition 2.4 (with $\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}$ in place of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\varphi_0 := \varphi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}}$) we obtain c.p.c. order zero maps

$$\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m : M_2 \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}$$

satisfying 2.4(i), (ii) and (iii). By relabeling the φ_i we may assume that actually $\varphi_m = \varphi_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}}$ in 2.4(i).

From Lemma 2.3, we obtain a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\bar{\varphi} : M_2 \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_i(M_2) \mid i = 1, \dots, m) \subset (\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}$$

such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}).$$

By the second assertion of Lemma 2.3 and since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_m(e_{11})(\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}) &= (\varphi(e_{11}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}})(\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}) \\ &= \psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

we may furthermore assume that

$$\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})(\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}}) = \psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}},$$

which in turn yields

$$(3) \quad \psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)}} \leq \bar{\varphi}(e_{11})$$

since ψ is contractive. Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}} - \bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})] &\stackrel{2.4(iii)}{=} [(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k}} - \varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_{M_2}))^{\otimes m}] \\
 &= [((\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} - \varphi(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(k-1)}})^{\otimes m}] \\
 &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} [\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}}]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

in $W((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})$. Define a c.p.c. order zero map

$$\Phi : M_{2^k} \cong (M_2)^{\otimes k} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes k} \cong \mathcal{D}^{\otimes kmk}$$

by

$$\Phi := \bar{\varphi}^{\otimes k}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[\mathbf{1}_{((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes k}} - \Phi(\mathbf{1}_{(M_2)^{\otimes k}})] \\
 &\stackrel{1.2}{\leq} k \cdot [(\mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m}} - \bar{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}_{M_2})) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes(k-1)}}] \\
 &\stackrel{(4)}{\leq} k \cdot [\psi(e_{11})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes(m-1)}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes(k-1)}}] \\
 &\leq [\psi(\mathbf{1}_{M_k})^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{D}^{\otimes(km-1)})^{\otimes k}}] \\
 &\stackrel{(3)}{\leq} [\bar{\varphi}(e_{11})^{\otimes k}] \\
 &= [\Phi(e_{11})]
 \end{aligned}$$

in $W(((\mathcal{D}^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m})^{\otimes k})$. From [10, Proposition 5.1] we now see that there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\varrho : Z_{2^k, 2^k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\otimes kmk} \cong \mathcal{D}.$$

Since k was arbitrary, by [13, Proposition 2.2] this implies that $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable. $\blacksquare$

3.2 COROLLARY: *The Jiang–Su algebra is the uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) initial object in the category of strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras (with unital $*$ -homomorphisms).*

PROOF: By Theorem 3.1, the Jiang–Su algebra does embed unitaly into any strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebra, so it is an initial object. If $\mathcal{D}$ is another initial object, then $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ embed unitaly into one another, whence they are isomorphic by [12, Proposition 5.12].

Sometimes an object in a category is called initial only if there is a *unique* morphism to any other object; this remains true in our setting if one takes approximate unitary equivalence classes of unital $*$ -homomorphisms as morphisms. $\blacksquare$

3.3 REMARK: By [9], $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable C^* -algebras are K_1 -injective, whence K_1 -injectivity is unnecessary in the hypotheses of the main results of [12], [2], [3], [5], [6] and [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] Marius Dădărlat and Mikael Rørdam, *Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras which contain a nontrivial projection*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0902.3886, 2009.
- [2] Marius Dădărlat and Wilhelm Winter, *On the KK -theory of strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/07040583, to appear in Math. Scand., 2007.
- [3] Marius Dădărlat and Wilhelm Winter, *Trivialization of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ -algebras with strongly self-absorbing fibres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **136** (2008), no. 4, 575–606. MR MR2443037

- [4] George A. Elliott and Andrew S. Toms, *Regularity properties in the classification program for separable amenable C^* -algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **45** (2008), no. 2, 229–245. MR MR2383304
- [5] Ilan Hirshberg, Mikael Rørdam, and Wilhelm Winter, *$C_0(X)$ -algebras, stability and strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **339** (2007), no. 3, 695–732. MR MR2336064 (2008j:46040)
- [6] Ilan Hirshberg and Wilhelm Winter, *Rokhlin actions and self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **233** (2007), no. 1, 125–143. MR MR2366371
- [7] ———, *Permutations of strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **19** (2008), no. 9, 1137–1145. MR MR2458564
- [8] Xinhui Jiang and Hongbing Su, *On a simple unital projectionless C^* -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), no. 2, 359–413. MR MR1680321 (2000a:46104)
- [9] Mikael Rørdam, *The stable and the real rank of $\mathcal{Z}$ -absorbing C^* -algebras*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), no. 10, 1065–1084. MR MR2106263 (2005k:46164)
- [10] Mikael Rørdam and Wilhelm Winter, *The Jiang–Su algebra revisited*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0801.2259; to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., 2008.
- [11] Andrew S. Toms, *On the classification problem for nuclear C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no. 3, 1029–1044. MR MR2415391
- [12] Andrew S. Toms and Wilhelm Winter, *Strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 8, 3999–4029 (electronic). MR MR2302521 (2008c:46086)
- [13] ———, *$\mathcal{Z}$ -stable ASH algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **60** (2008), no. 3, 703–720. MR MR2414961
- [14] Wilhelm Winter, *Covering dimension for nuclear C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), no. 2, 535–556. MR MR1971906 (2004c:46134)
- [15] ———, *Localizing the Elliott conjecture at strongly self-absorbing C^* -algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0708.0283v3, with an appendix by H. Lin, 2007.
- [16] ———, *Covering dimension for nuclear C^* -algebras II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 8, 4143–4167.
- [17] Wilhelm Winter and Joachim Zacharias, *Completely positive maps of order zero*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0903.3290v1, 2009.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, NOTTINGHAM, NG7 2RD

E-mail address: wilhelm.winter@nottingham.ac.uk